

**STATE HISTORICAL RESOURCES COMMISSION
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION**

P. O. BOX 942896
SACRAMENTO, CA 94296-0001
(916) 653-6624
(916) 653-9824 FAX



ANTHEA M. HARTIG, Ph.D.
.....*Chairperson*
LAUREN W. BRICKER, Ph.D.
.....*Vice Chairperson*
CLAIRE BOGAARD
PHILIP P. CHOY
KATHLEEN GREEN
WILLIAM R. HILDEBRANDT, Ph.D.
LUIS HOYOS, AIA
MARY L. MANIERY
CAROL L. NOVEY

DR. KNOX MELLON
.....*Executive Secretary*

Quarterly Meeting of the
STATE HISTORICAL RESOURCES COMMISSION

Resources Building
First Floor Auditorium
1416 9th Street
Sacramento, California

February 7, 2003

MINUTES

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

Anthea Hartig, Ph.D., Chairperson
Lauren Bricker, Ph.D., Vice Chairperson
Claire Bogaard
Phillip P. Choy
Kathleen Green
William R. Hildebrandt, Ph.D.
Luis Hoyos, AIA
Mary L. Maniery
Carol L. Novey

COMMISSIONERS ABSENT

None

STAFF PRESENT

Knox Mellon, Ph.D., Executive Secretary
Stephen Mikesell, Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer
Denzil Verardo, Ph.D., Chief Deputy Director, Administration, DPR
Eugene Itogawa, Staff Historian
Tara Lynch, DPR Staff Counsel
Rachel Magaña, Recording Secretary
Cynthia Howse, Staff Architectural Historian

Maryln Lortie, Staff Historian
John Thomas, Staff Historian
Susan Jackson, Business Services Officer
Maria Camacho, Staff Assistant

I. CALL TO ORDER

Legal notice having been duly given, the State Historical Resources Commission meeting was called to order at 9:08 a.m. by Chairperson Hartig.

II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairperson Hartig led the Pledge of Allegiance.

III. INTRODUCTION OF COMMISSION MEMBERS AND STAFF

Commission members and staff introduced themselves. Chairperson Hartig acknowledged former Commissioners Jeffrey Samudio and Wayne Donaldson in attendance in the audience.

IV. WELCOME

Commissioner Green introduced Mayor Heather Fargo.

Heather Fargo, Mayor of Sacramento, welcomed the Commission and declared that Sacramento honors and values its history, which makes Sacramento unique. The City tries to preserve what can be, restore what is possible and respect what is publicly and privately owned. Mayor Fargo announced that the City of Sacramento has an opportunity to convert the old Southern Pacific Railroad yards into a major city showcase with a new sports arena and an expanded state railroad museum.

Dr. Mellon introduced Ruth Coleman, Acting Director, Department of Parks and Recreation.

Acting Director Coleman reported that the Department of Parks and Recreation must absorb a \$15 million cut in operations without closing any state parks. The Office of Historic Preservation's budget will not be cut. In addition, the Department is committed to the Office of Historic Preservation to administer a grants program under Proposition 40. A statewide meeting of the Stakeholders is scheduled for February 14 in Los Angeles.

Steve Mikesell introduced Steve Hill, Superintendent, Capital District, Department of Parks and Recreation.

Superintendent Hill welcomed the Commission to Sacramento and reported that the State Historic Parks in Sacramento hosted 1.2 million visitors and well over 200,000 school children in 2002. Mr. Hill presented packet of materials on the State Railroad Museum and a CD disc of the State Capitol Museum.

V. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 8, 2002

Chairperson Hartig requested that the AIA designation be attached to Commissioner Hoyos' name. The Executive Secretary's Report should read Keystone Mast. Riverside was incorporated in 1883 and was the citrus center of Southern California. Commissioner Green moved to adopt the November 8, 2002 meeting minutes with corrections. Commissioner Maniery seconded the motion. **Action:** Motion carried unanimously.

VI. COMMISSION AND STAFF REPORTS

A. CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT

Chairperson Hartig commended the Department of Parks and Recreation for a successful Cultural Heritage Resources Summit held in November 2002. Chairperson Hartig reported that she and Commissioner Hoyos attended the Breed Street Shul dedication in January and looked forward to participating in the Proposition 40 Stakeholders' meeting in Los Angeles on February 14.

B. EXECUTIVE SECRETARY'S REPORT

Dr. Mellon reported that the Office of Historic Preservation presented a ceremonial check of \$150,000 to the Breed Street Shul on January 19. The restoration of the Shul will greatly contribute to the revitalization of the Boyle Heights area. The Office of Historic Preservation will also present a check in the amount of \$550,000 to the County of San Bernardino for restoration of the County Courthouse in April. Dr. Mellon stated that the first week in May is Historic Preservation Week and will request the Governor to issue a proclamation celebrating Historic Preservation Week in California.

Chairperson Hartig agreed to have the Commission also make a formal request to the Governor.

C. DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION REPORT

Chairperson Hartig announced that Dr. Denzil Verardo will retire from state service on April 30, 2003.

Dr. Verardo stated that the proceedings from the Cultural Heritage Resources Summit will be printed in time for the next Stakeholders' meeting on February 14. The document is a word-for-word transcription produced and printed through the generous support of the non-profit partners of the Summit. Dr. Verardo concluded by expressing an appreciation of the difficult and challenging role of the Commission. Very little in California comes without controversy and the current Commission, as a group, has a greater depth in expertise and dedication than any State Historical Resources Commission in 33 years. Dr. Verardo stated that he will attend the May 9, 2003 Commission meeting as a private citizen and thanked the Commission for all their wonderful work.

VII. CONSENT CALENDAR

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES

Chairperson Hartig stated that, as preparer of one of the nominations, she recused herself from the consent calendar proceedings. Vice Chair Bricker assumed leadership of the Commission meeting.

Gerry Building

Los Angeles, Los Angeles Co.
Local Level of Significance

Westminster Presbyterian Church

Sacramento, Sacramento Co.
Local Level of Significance

City Hall, Police Department

Fullerton, Orange Co.
Local Level of Significance

Sam and Alfreda Maloof Compound

Rancho Cucamonga, San Bernardino Co.
National Level of Significance

Cantu Ranch, Galleano Winery

Mira Loma, Riverside Co.
Local Level of Significance

Rosecroft

San Diego, San Diego Co.
State Level of Significance

Commissioner Hoyos moved to approve the Consent Calendar and have the National Register nominations be transmitted to the State Historic Preservation Officer for forwarding to the Keeper for placement on the National Register of Historic Places at the appropriate levels of significance and for listing on the California Register of Historical Resources. Commissioner Bogaard seconded the motion. **Action:** Motion carried unanimously.

Commissioner Green stated that the Westminster Presbyterian Church nomination was well written.

Commissioner Hoyos expressed pleasure in supporting the Fullerton City Hall Police Department and the Westminster Presbyterian Church and congratulated both property owners for their excellent nominations and their stewardship.

Commissioner Hildebrandt stated that the Sam and Alfreda Maloof Compound was an outstanding nomination, an inspiration of how Sam Maloof's house was built through his architectural and artistic expressions.

Chairperson Hartig returned to resume leadership of the meeting.

VIII. DISCUSSION CALENDAR

A. NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES

Lincoln Place Apartments

Venice, Los Angeles Co.
Local Level of Significance

Monterey County Jail

Salinas, Monterey Co.
State Level of Significance

**Naval Training Center
Historic District (Amend)**
San Diego, San Diego Co.

**Hacket, Edward Alexander
Kelley House**
Los Angeles, Los Angeles Co.
Local Level of Significance

Lincoln Place Apartments
Venice, Los Angeles County

Chairperson Hartig reported that the opponents of the Lincoln Place Apartments requested a continuance of the nomination.

Ralph Ochoa, attorney representing the owners of the Lincoln Place Apartments, requested the Commission continue the Lincoln Place nomination until the next quarterly meeting due to OHP staff's failure to timely submit all documents to the Commission, thus bringing into question due process and credibility of the nomination process. The Commission must have the complete records in order to make an informed judgement.

Chairperson Hartig summarized the request for continuance to center on the issues of violation of due process, contamination of credibility and relevancy of the submitted materials before the Commission.

Maryln Lortie read a statement regarding documents provided to Commissioners.

Speakers

Susan Brandt Hawley, attorney representing Lincoln Place Tenants Association, and Amanda Seward, Lincoln Place Apartments applicant, spoke in opposition to the request for a continuance.

Tara Lynch, Department Legal Counsel, stated that the Commission, in considering whether to grant the continuance, should consider the 5th Amendment to the United States Constitution, which states that a property owner's rights shall not be affected without due process of law. Due process of law means notice and opportunity to respond. The National Historic Preservation Act ensures due process occurs, as the Act requires that an owner of a property be given an opportunity to concur or object to the nomination. As such the Commission needs to consider whether the owners of the Lincoln Place Apartments received reasonable notice and an opportunity to respond to the nomination. In considering this, the Commission also needs to consider whether the materials that were not forwarded to the owners, but made available at the hearing, are relevant to the Commission's decision to list the property on the National Register. The basic test to determine relevancy is whether the evidence has any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more or less probable than it would be without the evidence.

Commissioner Hildebrandt responded that the materials sent by early mail, combined with materials made available at the workshop and with the information that is part of the testimony, are all relevant information in order to make a judgement.

Commissioner Choy stated that the materials given directly to him by Mr. Ochoa at a previous meeting were parallel to the materials provided by OHP staff. This is sufficient evidence.

Vice Chair Bricker stated that the documents cited by Mr. Ochoa were already referenced as entries in the National Register nomination and that there is adequate documentation in the nomination.

Commissioner Hoyos stated that Commissioners rely on information provided by staff as well as their own resources. He indicated he had all the tools necessary to reach an opinion and had access to other relevant information by visiting the site.

Vice Chair Bricker moved that the Commission not grant the continuance and to proceed with deliberations on the nomination. Commissioner Hildebrandt seconded the motion. **Action:** Motion carried unanimously.

Chairperson Hartig concurred that all requirements for the due process notification were handled completely and competently. All the information needed and required by the Commission for the public hearing portion of the meeting was included. The materials submitted to the Commission pass the test of reasonableness and represent prior practices of OHP staff meticulously examining and professionally determining relevance of documents to be presented to the Commission. A number of the reports were cited by more than one author, including a rebuttal of the analysis of the report to the Cultural Heritage Commission by Robert Chattel and the Chairperson, did not find the documentation that was received to be lacking in any way and that the due process afforded to the applicant as well as those in opposition to the nomination seems clear and fair.

Maryln Lortie gave the staff report recommending the Commission find the Lincoln Place Apartments significant under National Register Criteria A and C and eligible for listing in the National Register.

The following speakers spoke in support of the application:

Amanda Seward, nomination applicant;

Laura Burns, documentary filmmaker and tenant of Lincoln Place;

Catherine Barrier, Preservation Advocate representing Los Angeles Conservancy;

Sheila Bernard, President, Lincoln Place Tenants;

Gail Sansbury, Professor of Urban Planning;

Jeff Samudio, Partner, Design Aid;

Speaker in opposition to the nomination:

Allan Abshez, representing the owners of Lincoln Place Apartments, stated that he considers himself an historic preservationist who has worked with many preservation

organizations. In reviewing staff's recommendation, the owners disagree with much of the discussion of the application. The evaluation had a number of errors and mistaken assumptions. The bibliography is not in staff's files and the application does not establish enough about the context to evaluate the significance of Lincoln Place. Staff did not respond to any of the materials that were submitted which is why the continuance was requested. The application claims that Lincoln Place should be deemed eligible under Criteria A or C as important to the local community. Mr. Abshez stated that with the integrity of the National Register, the Lincoln Place Apartments could not be said to be significant under either Criterion A or C. In 1995, the City of Los Angeles, the Cultural Heritage Board, and the Planning Commission found that the Lincoln Place Apartments lacked significance as a local resource. Mr. Abshez stated that there are other better examples of multi family housing. The City found that the growth of Los Angeles after World War II was so phenomenal that only those resources with significant architecture stand out. Over 400,000 residential units were built between 1945 and 1950 before Lincoln Place. The City's Cultural Heritage Board considered and rejected the application in December 2000 and it recognized that the Lincoln Place Apartments did not represent a precedent setting or important architecture. This was based on the analysis prepared by Robert Chattel. In November, Los Angeles City Council reviewed the National Register application and after considering the arguments, it was determined that Lincoln Place Apartments were not an important resource and found that there was no substantial evidence for eligibility under Criterion A or C.

The following speakers presented rebuttals:

Amanda Seward spoke in support of the nomination.

Laura Burns spoke in support of the nomination.

Gail Sansbury spoke in support of the nomination.

Allan Abshez spoke in opposition of the nomination.

Commissioner Hildebrandt concluded that the Lincoln Place Complex is probably a derivative of an important event in the garden apartment movement but dismissed Criterion A as a strong candidate. The opponents stressed the idea of the work of a master or high artistic values but the proponents did not emphasize that. The argument is that it embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction. Commissioner Hildebrandt concurred with architect Allen Mock who wrote a letter that the Lincoln Place Apartments represented a good example of garden city movement using FHA guidelines. The opponents argued that the Commission did not have enough contextual information and that these sources of developments are very prominent throughout the Los Angeles area and the rest of the country. Commissioner Hildebrandt stated that the opponents have not shown to his satisfaction that is the case. All that has been presented are three or four examples in the Los Angeles area. Los Angeles is a big place and if there are only three or four examples, that is rare.

Vice Chair Bricker reported that she and Commissioner Hoyos visited the site. There is tremendous sense of relatedness between the buildings, the site and the landscape. One has a great sense of openness and livability. That attitude is further reinforced by

the whole issue of the location of the garages and carports, which is set back off the alleys so there is great sharp distinction. Under Criterion A, in the context of the post-war period, it does have its own distinctive significance. The architecture is an example of the Modernist movement. Vice Chair Bricker stated that it does meet Criterion C.

Commissioner Choy stated Lincoln Place is extremely important and makes a strong case for Criterion A in the context of Ralph Vaughn. The nomination was well written.

Commissioner Hoyos stated that there is a need for more context studies regarding modern resources. The Commission is in the process of forming a sub-committee to study modern resources. Commissioner Hoyos stated that it is a very well designed project with a well-executed site plan.

Commissioner Bogaard stated that she visited the site and witnessed the livability and comfort of the complex. The resource is a very important part of history in California. She supports the nomination.

Commissioner Maniery stated that the letters from architect Ralph Vaughn's family suggests that this was a place that he was very proud of, even 20 years after construction. There is a lot of social history and of many different cultural groups that could be represented through Ralph Vaughn and the applicants may want to pursue this more.

Commissioner Green stated that Lincoln Place has a very distinct modern architectural style that meets Criterion C.

Commissioner Hoyos moved that the Lincoln Place Apartments nomination be transmitted to the State Historic Preservation Officer for forwarding to the Keeper for determination of eligibility to the National Register of Historic Places at the local level of significance and for listing on the California Register of Historical Resources. Vice Chair Bricker seconded the motion. **Action:** Seven ayes and one nay.

Vice Chair Bricker encouraged the property owners to maintain the buildings that have been allowed to deteriorate for a number of years.

Monterey County Jail Salinas, Monterey County

Efren N. Eglasia, Senior Deputy County Counsel, County of Monterey, requested a continuance of the Monterey County Jail nomination because staff counsel did not have sufficient time to prepare testimony. The County is also in litigation regarding compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act.

Susan Brandt Hawley, attorney representing the Architectural Heritage Association, argued that the City has no grounds to request a continuance.

Commissioner Bogaard moved to deny the request for a continuance. Commissioner Green seconded the motion. **Action:** Motion carried unanimously.

After Commission discussion on the request for continuance Chairperson Hartig concluded that all requirements for due process were handled completely and competently and all information needed and required by the Commission to make that decision had been presented.

Maryln Lortie gave the staff report finding the property eligible for listing in the National Register.

Speakers in support of the nomination:

Enid Sales, Architectural Heritage Association, presented a biography of Caesar Chavez, including his incarceration in the Monterey County Jail.

Mark Edwin Norris, President, Architectural Heritage Association, provided a physical description of the jail.

Maureen Wruck read a letter from Dr. Gail Debrow, a consultant to the National Park Service researching the farm labor movement in California.

Joel Panzer, Monterey resident, explained that the jail is a part of the Salinas Civic Center Complex.

Salvador F. Munoz, Architectural Heritage Association, conveyed Salinas City Council Member Javier Sanchez's support to preserve the jail as a public museum.

Speakers in opposition to the nomination:

Scott Hennessy, Director, Monterey County Planning and Building Inspection Department, explained that issuance of demolition permits is a ministerial action in Monterey County. The County prepared an EIR for the county jail project and determined the jail was not historic.

Efren N. Eglasia, Senior Deputy County Counsel, County of Monterey, argued the building does not have public access and questioned the accuracy of the information on the length of duration of Caesar Chavez in the jail.

The following speakers presented rebuttals in support of the nomination:

Enid Sales, Architectural Heritage Association;

Mark Edwin Norris, President, Architectural Heritage Association;

Joel Panzer, resident of Monterey;

Maureen Wruck, resident of Salinas;

The following speaker presented rebuttal in opposition to the nomination:

Efren N. Eglasia, Senior Deputy County Counsel, County of Monterey;

Commissioner Hildebrandt stated that the nomination illustrates one of the strongest examples of Criterion B and supports the nomination.

Commissioner Novey concurred with Commissioner Hildebrandt.

Commissioner Choy stated that the length of time in jail is not the issue but the relevance of why Chavez was incarcerated and what he stood for is important.

Vice Chair Bricker stated that exceptional significance of the history supports the period of significance of 1970.

Commissioner Hoyos stated that the report was instructive in communicating the condition of the building. Access can be fixed and encouraged the city to find a reuse option for the building.

Commissioner Maniery asked if the National Park Service supports the nomination. Maryln Lortie replied that a letter of support came in from David Look of the Western Regional Office.

Commissioner Novey moved that the Monterey County Jail nomination, with a discussion of Criterion G for consideration of a property less than 50 years of age, be transmitted to the State Historic Preservation Officer for forwarding to the Keeper for placement on the National Register of Historic Places at the state level of significance and for listing on the California Register of Historical Resources. Commissioner Green seconded the motion. **Action:** Motion carried unanimously.

Naval Training Station

Request to amend National Register Nomination
San Diego, San Diego County

Cynthia Howse, Staff Historian, read staff report for the record. The San Diego Redevelopment Agency requested the Commission to reclassify 14 buildings and structures from contributing to noncontributing in the Naval Training Station National Register District, which was listed in the National Register on July 5, 2001. Staff recommended reclassifying only Building No. 182, 209, and 469.

The following people spoke in support of the reclassification recommended by the Redevelopment Agency:

Morrey Newstry, Development Agency, City of San Diego

Kathi Riser, Project Manager, McMillin Land Development

Peter Litrenta, US Navy, Retired

Wayne Raffesberger, San Diego Attorney

Cathy Crawford, Consultant who prepared original nomination for the Navy

Wayne Donaldson, FAIA, Consultant who prepared request to amend the nomination

Von Marie May, San Diego, stated the City of San Diego Historical Resources Board supported reclassifying all but three of the 14 buildings from contributor to noncontributor. The HRB did not support reclassifying Building No. 48, 182, and 373.

Vice Chair Bricker stated the buildings might not relate to the original architecture of the Naval Training Center, but they were associated with the history of the base.

Chairperson Hartig stated the property was listed under both Criteria A and C.

Wayne Donaldson showed a large map of the base with footprints of the buildings.

Vice Chair Bricker suggested amending the nomination to delete Criterion A. This would remove as contributors all buildings not significant for architecture.

Cathy Crawford stated the property had important historical associations with World War II and it would be difficult not to acknowledge that.

Commissioner Hoyos stated although the subject buildings were modest they were a part of the base during the historic period.

In response to Mr. Donaldson's comments regarding the numbers of the buildings under consideration not in sequence with their neighboring structures, Commissioner Maniery stated as a consultant she has evaluated many military facilities and it is common to find these small, modest buildings scattered throughout the grounds. Often times they have been moved around while maintaining their original numbers or have been renumbered. The numbering system can be fluid.

Commissioner Bogaard moved to support Staff's recommendation to reclassify Building Nos. 182 and 209 to noncontributor. Vice Chair Bricker seconded the motion. Commissioner Maniery requested Building No. 469 also be reclassified to noncontributor because of its probable date of construction after the period of significance. Commissioner Bogaard and Vice Chair Bricker agreed to the amended motion. **Action:** Seven ayes and one abstention. Motion passed.

Hackett, Edward Alexander Kelly, House
Los Angeles, Los Angeles County

Cynthia Howse, Staff Historian, read staff report that the nomination did not make an adequate case for National Register eligibility of the property under Criteria B and C in the area of social history, communications, community planning, art, and, architecture.

Terry duSoleil, applicant and former property owner, stated National Register designation will allow a federal tax deduction for a preservation easement donated to the Los Angeles Conservancy which will offset the thousands of dollars spent on restoring the house.

Catherine Barrier, Los Angeles Conservancy, stated the Conservancy supports the nomination.

Vice Chair Bricker stated she visited the house and thought it was probably eligible for the National Register under Criterion C in the area of architecture, but in a slightly different context from the one defined in the nomination. The more appropriate context for understanding the property's significance is the transitional period evolving into purely Arts and Crafts design.

Vice Chair Bricker moved the nomination should be conditionally approved by the Commission to be transmitted to the State Historic Preservation Officer for forwarding to the Keeper for listing at the local level under Criterion C and for listing on the California Register of Historical Resources. The condition is the nomination will be revised to discuss the property as a transitional building in Los Angeles's Arts and Crafts architectural evolution. Commissioner Choy seconded the motion. **Action:** Motion passed unanimously.

Catherine Barrier of the Los Angeles Conservancy stated that the Conservancy would be willing to help the applicant revise the nomination.

The Commissioners all agreed that they would not need to rehear the nomination and asked Cynthia Howse to submit the document to the Keeper after revisions.

- B. The following nominations have been placed on the National Register of Historic Places. The National Park Service Notice of Listings identifying these nominations has been received by the Office of Historic Preservation since the last regular meeting of the Commission. *These properties also have been placed on the California Register of Historical Resources.*

Grey, Zane, Estate , Altadena, Los Angeles County	10/24/02
Mountain View Adobe , Mountain View, Santa Clara County	10/28/02
Executive Office Building, Old Warner Brothers Studio , Los Angeles, Los Angeles County	11/01/02
Brown, Jackson Fay, House , Dixon, Solano County	11/07/02
Nelson Springs , Modoc County	11/21/02
Dry Lakes Plateau , Mono County	11/21/02
Stevens Trail , Colfax, Placer County	11/20/02
Central Embarcadero Piers Historic District , San Francisco, San Francisco City / County	11/20/02
Point Sal Headland , Santa Barbara County	11/21/02
Phillips Brothers Mill , Oak Run, Shasta County	12/02/02

IX. PUBLIC COMMENTS AND HEARINGS

Presentations of comments or concerns by the general public are encouraged:

Peter Litrenta, Director of Marketing and Development, Mission San Luis Rey, reported that the state legislature passed AB 716 dedicating millions of dollars for restoring and preserving historical treasures in California, including the Missions. Unfortunately, Governor Davis vetoed majority of the funds. Members of the San Diego County delegation met with Senator Dede Alpert to restore the funds. Congress intends to pass a resolution committing \$10 million to the California Missions Foundation for the restoration of California Missions. This is a matching grant. The San Diego County delegation will write a letter to the Governor, asking to restore \$10 million. Mr. Litrenta requested the Commission's assistance in securing a state match of \$10 million.

Chairperson Hartig asked Mr. Litrenta to send a letter to the Commission requesting support.

X. ACTION AND DISCUSSION ITEMS

A. Approval to initiate rulemaking for the California Historical Resource Information System ~ John Thomas, Office of Historic Preservation

John Thomas, Staff Historian, explained that the Office of Historic Preservation has prepared draft regulations for the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) and requested approval of the Commission to release the draft regulations for public comment. Mr. Thomas also thanked Commissioners Maniery and Hildebrandt for their contributions as members of the Information Center Procedural Committee of the Commission. Commissioners Maniery and Hildebrandt acknowledged Mr. Thomas' hard work to get the draft regulations through and commended him for his patience and perseverance. Commissioner Hildebrandt moved to approve the draft CHRIS rulemaking documents for public release. Commission Maniery seconded the motion.

Action: Motion carried unanimously.

B. Adoption of New Commission Meeting Locations and Dates for 2003

Chairperson Hartig announced that the current state budget deficit will require that the May 2003 Commission meeting be rescheduled from Santa Barbara to Sacramento. The Commission adopted a revised meeting schedule and location for 2003 by consensus:

May 9, 2003	Sacramento	Regular Quarterly Meeting
August 8, 2003	Vallejo	Regular Quarterly Meeting
November 7, 2003	South Pasadena	Regular Quarterly Meeting

Chairperson Hartig stated that she would confer with executive staff prior to the next Commission meeting to work on the details of the next workshop. Chairperson Hartig further suggested that discussions of nominations be deleted from future workshop agendas.

XI. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Commission, the meeting was adjourned at 4:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Dr. Knox Mellon
Executive Secretary

DATED _____